

# INFLUENZA WEEKLY UPDATE

### 2014/18: 28 April - 4 May 2014

The national influenza surveillance system in New Zealand is an essential public health component for assessing and implementing strategies to control influenza. This report summarises the data collected from sentinel general practice (GP) surveillance and non-sentinel surveillance for week 18 (28 April – 4 May 2014).

#### Summary

- ILI through sentinel surveillance was reported from 14 out of 20 District Health Boards (DHB) with a national consultation rate of 10.8 per 100 000 (31 ILI consultations).
- A total of 145 swabs were received from sentinel (17) and non-sentinel (128) surveillance.
- 23 viruses were identified: A(H1N1)pdm09 (10), A(H3N2) (5) including one A/Victoria/361/2011 (H3N2), B (4) including three B/Wisconsin/1/2010-like virus and one B/Brisbane/60/2008-like virus, and A (not sub-typed) (4).

#### INFLUENZA-LIKE ILLNESS SURVEILLANCE

In the past week, a total of 31 consultations for influenza-like illness were reported from 51 general practices in 14 out of 20 DHBs. This gives a weekly consultation rate of 10.8 per 100 000 patient population. Figure 1 shows the weekly national consultation rate for 2014 in comparison to the average epidemic curve in 2000–2013 (excluding 2009). For more details on threshold definitions, see Appendix. The current rate of influenza-like illness is below the seasonal threshold.





Note: There is only one value for 2014 (10.8 per 100 000).

Figure 2 shows the weekly national consultation rate for 2014 in comparison to the previous years 2010-2013.





Note: There is only one value for 2014 (10.8 per 100 000).

Figure 3 compares the consultation rates for influenza-like illness for each DHB over the past week. Hutt Valley DHB had the highest consultation rate (20.0 per 100 000, 3 cases) followed by and Southern (19.5 per 100 000, 10 cases).





\* No data for the week.

Note: Auckland (AK) and Counties Manukau (CM) DHBs follow the Southern Hemisphere Influenza and Vaccine Effectiveness Research and Surveillance (SHIVERS) case definition which is different from this sentinel surveillance. For more details, please refer to the website: http://www.esr.cri.nz/competencies/shivers/Pages/SHIVERSReports.aspx

#### VIROLOGICAL SURVEILLANCE

A total of 17 swabs were received from sentinel surveillance. Of these two A(H1N1)pdm09 viruses were identified, one each from Hutt Valley and Canterbury DHBs.

In addition, 128 swabs were received by virology laboratories from non-sentinel surveillance. Of these, 21 influenza viruses were identified: A(H1N1)pdm09 (8), A(H3N2) (5) including one A/Victoria/361/2011 (H3N2)-like virus, A (not sub-typed) (4), B/Wisconsin/1/2010-like virus (3), and B/Brisbane/60/2008-like virus (1). The distribution by DHB is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Influenza viruses from non-sentinel surveillance for week 18 by DHB

	DHB							
Antigenic strain	AK	СМ	WK	BP	CC	СВ	SN	Total
A (not sub-typed)	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	4
A(H1N1)pdm09	0	2	1	0	1	3	1	8
A(H3N2)	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
A/Victoria/361/2011 (H3N2)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
B/Brisbane/60/2008-like virus	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
B/Wisconsin/1/2010-like virus	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	3
Total	1	8	5	1	1	4	1	21

#### Table 2. DHB codes and descriptions

DHB code	DHB	DHB code	DHB
NL	Northland	WG	Whanganui
WM	Waitemata	MC	MidCentral
АК	Auckland	WR	Wairarapa
СМ	Counties Manukau	HU	Hutt Valley
WK	Waikato	CC	Capital & Coast
LS	Lakes	NM	Nelson Marlborough
BP	Bay of Plenty	WC	West Coast
TW	Tairawhiti	СВ	Canterbury
ТК	Taranaki	SC	South Canterbury
НВ	Hawke's Bay	SN	Southern

## **APPENDIX**

- \* New Zealand's ILI data during 2000-2013 (excluding 2009) was reviewed and updated:
- The average epidemic curve indicated here is the usual level of influenza activity that may occur during a typical year using the method described in "Global epidemiological surveillance standards for influenza" (<u>http://www.who.int/influenza/resources/documents/WHO\_Epidemiological\_Influenza\_Su</u> rveillance Standards 2014.pdf).
- The seasonal threshold indicated here is the level of influenza activity that signals the start and end of the annual influenza season and it was based on the Moving Epidemic Method (*Vega et al. Influenza and other respiratory viruses 2013;7(4):546-558*). A weekly rate of 36 ILI consultations per 100 000 patient population is considered the seasonal threshold.
- Alert threshold (defined as 90% upper confidence interval) indicated here is a level above which, varying by time of year, influenza activity is higher than most years.
- The ILI rates used here to describe different level of influenza activity is based on the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles of the ILI data. A rate of 37–149 per 100 000 patient population is considered indicative of normal seasonal influenza activity; a rate of 150–399 indicative of higher than expected influenza activity; a rate of  $\geq$ 400 indicative of a severe epidemic level of influenza activity.

**Dr. Sue Huang** WHO National Influenza Centre ESR Wallaceville Science Centre PO Box 40158, Upper Hutt